

DDA Registry
File O & M

DDA 76-1188

10 March 1976

STATINTL MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. [REDACTED]

FROM : John N. McMahon, Associate Deputy
Director for Administration

SUBJECT : Comments on Your 10 March 1976 Draft
to Mr. Knoche on Allocation of DCI
and CFI Responsibilities

Under DCI Executive Order responsibilities item
xiv, a small "x" should be placed under CIA since the
DCI, as Executive Head of CIA, may desire to establish
such committees peculiar to CIA's activities. Otherwise,
it looks good.

14 APR 1976

John N. McMahon

STATINTL Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

- 1 - DDA Subject w/cy of Subject draft
- 1 - DDA Chrono
- 1 - JNM Chrono

ADDA:JNMcMahon:kmg (10 Mar 76)

STATINTL

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE 10 Mar 76	
TO: John McMahon			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
7D26	Hqs.		
REMARKS:			
<p>Herewith a draft response to [REDACTED] Please give me any changes by COB Thursday, 11 March.</p>			
FROM: [REDACTED]			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION	
7E44	hqs.	5338	

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Deputy to the DCI for the Intelligence Community

SUBJECT : Allocation of DCI and CFI Responsibilities

1. The ADD's have discussed the allocations suggested in Attachment 4 of DCI/IC 76-2918. This memorandum expands and supplements my initial short memorandum to you of 5 March.

2. Under CFI responsibilities we note:

-- Nothing is said about reprogramming under Item 1. Perhaps there should be a lg.

-- Item 2b appears inadvertently to limit allocation of R&D resources to collection.

-- Item 2c(2), while not mentioned in the Executive Order for security reasons, clearly falls under the DCI's responsibilities for regulating arrangements with foreign services, and not under CFI.

-- It is not clear what is meant by 2c(3). Is this a question of propriety or of resource allocation?

-- Item 2d(3) suggests a larger role for the CFI in the affairs of program managers and organization heads than is probably meant. If it means allocation of production resources to these organizations on, say an annual basis, for specific purposes, e.g., Soviet strategic forces, we would have no problem.

-- Regarding Item 3a(2), it would appear appropriate for an IC evaluation organization to do this, but we would like a somewhat fuller expression of what you have in mind.

-- Items 3a(3) and 3a(6) are, as you note, General Counsel problems. We do not believe, however, that they are CFI problems.

-- As noted in my first memo, we are not sure what Item 5 means. If this refers to NSCID's and such NSDM's as relate to Intelligence Community operations and management, then it would appear an appropriate CFI responsibility. On the other hand, it might be talking about compliance with Section 5 of the

STATINTL

Executive Order. An inquiry to
[REDACTED] might shed some
light.

3. In regard to DCI responsibilities:

-- Item ivB is assigned to the
IC Staff. Requirements would appear
to be part of the national production
package. Priorities, on the other
hand, relate more to resources and to
the responsibilities that we agree
belong to the CFI.

-- We believe that Item vi should
be handled by a DCI committee chaired
by the DCI's IG, and will be sending
you a proposal to this effect for com-
ment.

-- The same proposal applies to
Item ix.

-- It would be clearer if you
broke Item xiA into two sections, one
dealing with management matters and
the other with substantive briefings.

-- Item xv needs an "x" under
CIA if we are not to be precluded
from consulting consumers about our

own product. Also a broader question:
There clearly has to be a product review function independent of the producers, and in the real world I expect this to end up in the IC Staff. The Executive Order, however, appears to lodge this function in the NSC's 6-monthly reviews and therefore in the NSC Staff.

 STATINTL